

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

In re Application of: Robert W. Stadler et al.

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETECTION AND TREATMENT OF TACHYCARDIA AND FIBRILLATION

Printed Name

Serial No.: 09/814,251 Filed: March 21, 2001



CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR §1.8 I hereby certify that this Amendment and Transmittal and the paper(s), as described herein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this Signature

MAIL STOP AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

X

X

We are transmitting herewith the attached:

X **AMENDMENT** X Return Postcard

Applicant hereby petitions for months' extension of time. If an additional extension of time is required,

please consider this petition therefor.

Please charge Deposit Account No. 13-2546 \$

.00

for extension of time and \$

For

for a

**TOTAL OF \$** 

Please charge any additional fees or credits to Deposit Account No. 13-2546 which may have been overlooked on

this Amendment Transmittal with regard to this filing. A duplicate of this transmittal is enclosed.

Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, if an extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for

an extension of time.

Daniel G. Chapik, Reg. No. 43,424

Telephone: (763) 514-3066

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 2003

PATENT 3/27/04

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Robert W. Stadler et al.

Examiner:

F. Oropeza

Serial No.

09/814,251

**Group Art Unit:** 

3762

Filing Date:

March 21, 2001

Docket No.:

P8777.00

Title:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR DETECTION AND TREATMENT OF TACHYCRADIA AND FIBRILLATION

## **AMENDMENT**

Mail Stop AF Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In an Advisory Action dated May 30, 2003, Applicant's previously submitted Response was indicated to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 and the objections to the drawings. The Advisory Action further indicates that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 would be maintained. For clarity, Applicant's previous amendment and response are incorporated herein, including the claim amendments and remarks. Applicant has specifically addressed the issues raised in the Advisory Action at the end of the Remarks.

RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS